UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/573,615	03/06/2007	Walter Dennis Robertson III	11000054-0033	9787
26263 SNR DENTON	7590 06/09/201 US LLP	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 061080			HOGAN, JAMES SEAN	
CHICAGO, IL 60606-1080			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3752	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/09/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/573,615	ROBERTSON III ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	JAMES HOGAN	3752			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 Ja</u> This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 11,13,15-17,19 and 20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 11,13,15-17,19 and 20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/19/11.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate			

Application/Control Number: 10/573,615 Page 2

Art Unit: 3752

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 11, 13, 15-17, 19 and 20 are unpatentable over 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6, 220,569 to Kelly in view of U.S. Patent No. 4,969,629 to Athanassiu.
- 2. As per claims 11 and 15-17, Kelly discloses (see Figures 1 and 2) an orifice member (at (15)) defining a first surface, the orifice member having an inlet and an outlet (at (13)), a plunger (51) having a magnetic pole and coil (62) which energizes to move the plunger, the plunger being movable relative to the orifice member; a first guide spring (26) situated between the orifice member and the plunger and having a portion attached to a first end of the pole when the coil is energized, the first guide spring defining a second surface (32), the second surface (32) being sealable against the first surface to prevent fluid flow between the inlet and the outlet, the fist and second sealing surfaced being co-planer when the plunger is in its closed position. However, Kelly does not teach a second guide spring. Athanassiu teaches a valve having a first spring (80) and a second guide spring (70), the second guide spring located between a pole and coil assembly (50) and a plunger (52) proximal end, the second guide spring supporting the plunger radially and preventing radial movement of the plunger when the plunger is

Application/Control Number: 10/573,615 Page 3

Art Unit: 3752

being pulled towards the pole during operation of said valve assembly. As the endeavor of Kelly and Athanassiu is for the actuation of a valve, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the valve of Kelly with the second guide spring of Athanassiu in order to prevent a plunger from "bottoming out" during actuation.

3. As per claim 13 and 19, the plunger is moved to the open position when the coil is energized.

4. As per claim 20, the guide spring of Kelly urges the plunger in the closed position.

.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JAMES HOGAN whose telephone number is (571)272-4902. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 6:30a-3:00p EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Len Tran can be reached on (571)272-1184. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/573,615 Page 4

Art Unit: 3752

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/J. S. H./
Examiner, Art Unit 3752
6/2/11
/Len Tran/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3752